#### Load Balancing For High Performance Computing Using Quantum Annealing

**QSE Meetup 2025** 

Omer Rathore, Nicholas Chancellor, Alastair Basden, Halim Kusumaatmaja



Quantum Enhanced Verified Exascale Computing



# Talk Outline

- > Quantum annealing overview
- Load balancing
  - Definition
- Motivation
  - Why should we care and why bother with quantum annealing?
- Methods
  - Grid based vs particle based
- Results
  - Comparison with classical algorithms
  - Scalability

# **Quantum Annealing Theory**

• A quantum system in it's ground state, remains in the ground state if perturbations to the Hamiltoninan are slow "enough"...

• Interpolate: 
$$H(t) = A(t)H_A + B(t)H_B$$
  
Initial Final

- Choose initial Hamintonian with easy to prepare ground state
- Encode problem of interest into final Hamiltonian
- Result: ground state of problem of interest
- QA is a heuristic algorithm for combinatorial optimisation

# **Quantum Annealing Implementation**

• D-Wave accepts problem Ising Hamiltonians:

$$H_B = \sum_{i \in V} h_i \sigma_i^z + \sum_{(i,j) \in E} J_{ij} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z$$

- Limited hardware connectivity
- Embedding uses chains of qubits to compensate





## Load balancing



Choice of discretisation influences structure of WPs!

#### Why should we care?



Crucial in leveraging modern HPC! Especially as we scale up to many cores.

# Why Quantum Annealing?

- Large and complex solution space
- Small increase in solution quality becomes important when scaled
- Asynchronous implementation can leverage QPU/CPU synergy



#### Methods: Grid Based





 $T_2$ 



- Nested hierarchy of grids
- High intra-connectivity and low inter-connectivity

Bell, J., et al. github.com/BoxLib-Codes/BoxLib (2012)

#### Methods: Particle Based





Schaller, Matthieu, et al. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 530.2 (2024).

- Particles grouped into cells
- Operations span at most 1 neighbouring cell

#### **Problem Formulation**

> Adaptive Mesh Refinement (grid based)

$$H = A\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i s_i\right)^2$$

Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (particle based)

$$H_1 = \left(\sum_{n=1^N} w_i s_i\right)^2, \qquad H_2 = \sum_{(uv)\in E} e_i \frac{1 - s_u s_v}{2}$$

AMR (Grid Based)

#### **Example Load Balancing Partitions**



Partitioning carried out recursively

## **Overall Performance**

- What about the maximum work disparity?
- Good performance at small problem size
- Clear advantage over RR
- Close agreement with SA/SD in general
- Parameter tuning? Obstacles to scalability?



#### Likelihood of good solution



Effectively guaranteed improvement over RR

Degradation with problem size

#### Roadblocks to Scalability



#### Parameter Tuning?



Although possible to obtain good solutions, the problem fundamentally remains fully connected!

#### SPH (Particle Based)

# Weighted Graph Partitioning



#### Fully connected problem! Or is it?

More resilient?

## Cut Edge Weights





METIS

QA

#### **Overall Performance**

	Solution Disparity	Cut Edge Weights
Quantum Annealing	0.057	3.69
METIS	0.189	5.20
Performance Ratio	3.32	1.41

- Can simultaneously improve both objectives
- Problem will not remain fully connected at larger problem sizes

# Approximate Pareto Front

- Can match partition to individual architectures using Lagrange parameter
- User can determine whether intra or inter processor communication is the priority
- > 41% of QA solutions are Pareto dominant compared to METIS
- Approach can be extended to simultaneous (instead of recursive) higher order partitions



# Summary

- Motivation for using QA to address load balancing in HPC
- Grid based methods :
  - Possible to obtain as good a solution as optimised classical
  - Problem remains fully connected
- Particle based methods :
  - QA solutions are Pareto dominant over state of the art
  - Expected to scale better for larger problems

Thank you for your attention. Any questions are welcome.